#### **Cherwell District Council**

# **Executive Committee**

# 5 September 2016

# Quarter 1 2016-17 – Revenue and Capital Budget Monitoring Report

# **Report of Chief Finance Officer**

This report is public

# **Purpose of report**

This report summarises the Council's Revenue and Capital position as at the end of the first three months of the financial year 2016-17 and projections for the full year.

# 1.0 Recommendations

Executive Committee is recommended:

1.1 To note the projected revenue and capital position at June 2016.

#### 2.0 Introduction

- 2.1 In line with good practice budget monitoring is undertaken on a monthly basis within the Council. The revenue and capital position is formulated in conjunction with the joint management team and reported formally to the Budget Planning Committee on a quarterly basis. The report is then considered by the Executive.
- 2.2 The revenue and capital expenditure in quarter 1 has been subject to a detailed review by Officers.

# 3.0 Report Details

# **Projected Revenue Outturn**

3.1 At quarter one the Council is projecting an overspend of £803,000 at the year end. Analysis by directorate can be found in Appendix 1.

# **CHERWELL DISTRICT COUNCIL**

# MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTS AS AT JUNE 2016

# SUMMARY BY SERVICE AREA

	Actual v Profile			
	Budget YTD £000	Actual YTD £000	Variance (Under) / Over £000	Concern Key
Chief Executive	44	54	10	R
CHIEF EXECUTIVE Total	44	54	10	R
Bicester Regeneration Projects	291	204	(87)	Α
Regeneration and Housing	695	927	232	R
Human Resources	130	220	90	R
Information Services	510	517	7	G
Business Transformation	57	321	264	R
COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT Total	1,683	2,189	506	R
Corporate Finance	334	385	51	R
Revenues	(46)	(24)	22	R
Benefits	189	189	0	G
Procurement	26	30	4	R
CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER Total	503	580	77	R
Strategic Planning & the Economy	305	322	17	R
Development Management	74	51	(23)	Α
Communications	74	83	9	R
Improvement	0	0	0	G
Business Support Unit	22	19	(3)	Α
Performance	49	59	10	R
Law and Governance	251	272	21	R
STRATEGY AND COMMISSIONING Total	775	806	31	R
Community Services	1,265	1,290	25	Α
Environmental Services	1,260	1,375	115	R
OPERATIONS AND DELIVERY Total	2,525	2,665	140	R
TOTAL DIRECTORATES	5,530	6,294	764	R

Projected v Budget				
Budget £000	Projected	Variance (Under) / Over £000	Concern Key	
173	207	34	R	
173	207	34	R	
1,163	963	(200)	Α	
1,642	2,152	510	R	
524	528	4	G	
1,444	1,472	28	Α	
229	394	165	R	
5,002	5,509	507	R	
1,380	1,516	136	R	
(182)	(182)	0	G	
92	92	0	G	
104	116	12	R	
1,394	1,542	148	R	
1,219	1,161	(58)	Α	
296	296	0	G	
295	295	0	G	
0	0	0	G	
87	87	0	G	
197	179	(18)	Α	
1,005	1,005	0	G	
3,099	3,023	(76)	G	
5,060	5,131	71	G	
5,039	5,158	119	Α	
10,099	10,289	190	Α	
19,767	20,570	803	R	

#### Concern Key

Overspent more than 2.5% of budget	
Underspent more than 2.5% of budget	
Overspent between 1.5% and 2.5% of budget	
Anything else	

# **Projected Capital Outturn**

3.2 The net Capital projection as at June 2016 is within budget tolerances (projected variance is less than 1% of the Approved Budget). The projected slippage relates to the North West Bicester Eco Business Centre, the profile of spend for this project will become clearer once the procurement exercise is completed in September. A detailed breakdown by capital scheme is presented at Appendix 2.

Directorate	APPROVED	YTD BUDGET	ACTUAL	PROJECTION	SLIPPAGE	VARIANCE
	BUDGET £000	£000	£000	£000	£000	£000
Strategy & Commissioning	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chief Finance Officer	38	0	(169)	38	0	0
Commercial Development	65,111	8,700	3,922	63,270	2,500	659
Operations & Delivery	6,046	770	1,113	6,046	0	0
Total	71,195	9,470	4,865	69,354	2,500	659

#### 4.0 Conclusion and Reasons for Recommendations

4.1 It is recommended that the contents of this report are noted.

# 5.0 Consultation

Cllr Ken Atack – Lead member for Financial Management

Cllr Atack is content with the report and supportive of the recommendations contained within it.

# 6.0 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

- 6.1 The following alternative options have been identified and rejected for the reasons as set out below.
- 6.2 Option 1: This report illustrates the Council's performance against the 2016-17 Financial Targets for Revenue and Capital. As this is a monitoring report, no further options have been considered. However, members may wish to request that officers provide additional information.

# 7.0 Implications

# **Financial and Resource Implications**

7.1 These are contained in the body of the report. There are no direct costs or other direct financial implications arising from this report.

Comments checked by: George Hill, Corporate Finance Manager, 01295 221731 george.hill@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

# **Legal Implications**

7.2 There are no legal implications. Presentation of this report is in line with the CIPFA Code of Practice.

Comments checked by:
Kevin Lane, Head of Law and Governance
0300 0030107 kevin.lane@cherwellsouthnorthants.gov.uk

# Risk management

7.3 The position to date highlights the relevance of maintaining a minimum level of reserves and budget contingency to absorb the financial impact of changes during the year. Any increase in risk will be escalated through the corporate risk register.

Comments checked by: Ed Bailey, Corporate Performance Manager, 01295 221605 edward.bailey@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

# **Equality and Diversity**

7.4 Impact assessments were carried out in advance of setting the 2016-17 budget.

Comments checked by: Caroline French, Corporate Policy Officer, 01295 221586 caroline.french@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk

# 8.0 Decision Information

#### **Wards Affected**

ΑII

# **Links to Corporate Plan and Policy Framework**

ΑII

#### **Lead Councillor**

Councillor Ken Atack - Lead Member for Financial Management

# **Document Information**

Appendix No	Title		
1	Directorate Analysis of Revenue Expenditure 2016-17		
2	Directorate Analysis of Capital Expenditure 2016-17		
Background Papers			
None			
Report Author	Paul Sutton, Chief Finance Officer		
Contact	03000 030106		
Information	Paul.sutton@cherwellandsouthnorthants.gov.uk		